

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DAPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Blx. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.asptu.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,321	12/31/2003	Michael D. Kotzin	CS10665	1712
20280 NAOTOROL A	7590 07/16/2007		EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA INC 600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45		LEE, CHUN KUAN		
ROOM AS43	7 .LE, IL 60048-5343		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2224, 222 000 10	•	2181	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/749,321	KOTZIN, MICHAEL D.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee	2181			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<u>) March 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11)[_	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
. ,—	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,			
·	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in App	lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been rec	ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bure					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
2) D Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date mal Patent Application			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mair atom Application			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS**

1. Applicant's submission of the Appeal Brief filed on March 20, 2007 is acknowledged. Applicant's remarks submitted in the Appeal Brief are considered persuasive. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on March 20, 2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Therefore the <u>FINALITY</u> of the office action dated August 16, 2006 is hereby <u>withdrawn</u>. The examiner requests the applicants to consider the new grounds of rejection provided below. Currently, claims 1-23 are pending for examination.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,321 Page 3

Art Unit: 2181

### I. INFORMATION CONCERNING OATH/DECLARATION

#### Oath/Declaration

2. The applicant's oath/declaration has been reviewed by the examiner and is found to conform to the requirements prescribed in **37 C.F.R. 1.63**.

### II. INFORMATION CONCERNING DRAWINGS

#### **Drawings**

3. The applicant's drawings submitted are acceptable for examination purposes.

#### III. REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 6-7, 10-11, 15-16 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raverdy et al. (US Patent 6,957,217) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).
- 5. As per claims 1 and 15, <u>Raverdy</u> teaches a presence attribute information server and a manager application comprising:

a processor (CPU 512 of Fig. 5);

an interface unit (I/O interfaces 520 of Fig. 5), coupled to the processor, including a data input device for receiving data (e.g. user presence attribute information) and a network interface for transmitting data (e.g. user presence attribute information) (col. 8, II. 43-50), wherein data are received and transmitted via downloading and uploading through a network (Fig. 1, ref. 130, 150);

a storage unit (server memory 516 of Fig. 5 and Fig. 6), coupled to the interface unit (Fig. 5, ref. 520) and the processor (Fig. 5, ref. 512), including user presence attribute information (user information 618 and access right manager 626 of Fig. 6) and associated access authorization information (e.g. information stored in and utilized by the login/configuration manager 620 of Fig. 6 for implementing a login procedure to initially connect the user device to the event server, therefore the corresponding user presence attribute information transferred during and after the login procedure must be associated with the access authorization information) organized and arranged as one or more entries in a data structure (Fig. 7 and col. 9, I. 26 to col. 10, I. 39);

wherein said access authorization entries are each associated with corresponding user presence attribute information entries (as the user device must initially login to be connected (Fig. 9, ref. 924), therefore the access authorization entries must each be associated with the corresponding user presence attribute information entries for the corresponding logged in user device), each user presence attribute information entry having a presence attribute value field, corresponding to one

or more types of presence attributes (e.g. user profile, location information, access right) (col. 10, II. 18-39), and

each access authorization information entry having a user field identifying one or more users (e.g. user data) and one or more access condition entries (e.g. access code) (col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-43), wherein the user device must be identified to implement the login properly, as the user data comprising user profile (Fig. 4, ref. 412), along with the correct access code is transferred to the event server during the login procedure.

Raverdy does not teach the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

receiving and transmitting user presence attribute information; and
an access condition define the condition when the corresponding user presence
attribute information is available to the corresponding identified one or more users.

<u>AAPA</u> teaches the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

receiving and transmitting user presence attribute information (Specification, p. 1, II.10-16 and p. 2, II. 5-7); and

an access condition (e.g. during business hours) define the condition when the corresponding user presence attribute information (e.g. employee location) is available to the corresponding identified one or more users (e.g. employer) (Specification, p. 2, II. 19-22).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,321 Page 6

Art Unit: 2181

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>AAPA</u>'s user presence attribute information into <u>Raverdy</u>'s system for the benefit of selectively providing information to a user device thus increasing security for acing the information (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 1, II. 56-64) to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1 and 15.

- 6. As per claim 6, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 1 as discussed above, where both further teach the presence attribute information server comprising wherein at least one of the one or more access condition entries includes a proximity relative to a predetermined location (e.g. location profile) (AAPA, Specification, p. 1, II. 12-13 and Raverdy, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-43).
- 7. As per claim 7, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 6 as discussed above, where both further teach the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the predetermined location includes a specific place (e.g. location) (AAPA, Specification, p. 1, II. 12-13 and Raverdy, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-43).
- 8. As per claim 10, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 6 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the location is relative to the at least one of item or person (e.g. user device) associated with the user presence attribute information (Raverdy, col. 4, II. 48-54, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-43).

- 9. As per claim 11, <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u> teach all the limitation of claim 6 as discussed above, where <u>Raverdy</u> further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the location is relative to the user requesting (e.g. user utilizing the user device at a particular location) the user presence attribute information (Raverdy, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9, II. 26-56).
- 10. As per claim 16, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 15 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the manager application comprising wherein said interface unit is further adapted for receiving access conditions (e.g. access code and other user data) associated with one or more users, which are used to formulate access authorization entries (Raverdy, col. 9, II. 26-43).
- 11. As per claim 19, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 15 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the manager application further comprising a broadcast unit (e.g. wireless interface), coupled to the interface unit and the storage unit (Raverdy, col. 8, II. 23-35), the broadcast unit being adapted to transmit updated user presence attribute information (e.g. time-stamped access information) to at least one of a presence attribute information server (Raverdy, Fig. 1, ref. 130, 122) and subscribed users (e.g. user device (Raverdy, Fig. 1, ref. 114) utilized by the user), that are currently authorized to receive updates, when the user presence attribute information changes (Raverdy, Fig. 9, ref. 940 and col. 11, II. 52-63), as the access right

of the user device expires the updated information comprising the termination of the connection is transferred to the LAN then to the base station and finally to the user utilizing the user device.

- 12. As per claim 20, <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u> teach all the limitation of claim 19 as discussed above, where <u>Raverdy</u> further teaches the manager application comprising wherein the broadcast unit includes a set of prestored instructions for execution by the processor (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 9, II. 10-14).
- 13. As per claim 21, <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u> teach all the limitation of claim 19 as discussed above, where <u>Raverdy</u> further teaches the manager application comprising wherein the presence attribute information manager application is incorporated as part of a portable electronic device (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 4, II. 48-54).
- 14. As per claim 22, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitation of claim 21 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the manager application comprising wherein the portable electronic device is a wireless radio frequency telephone (e.g. cellular telephone device) (Raverdy, col. 4, II. 48-54).
- 15. Claims 2-5, 13-14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Raverdy et al.</u> (US Patent 6,957,217) in view of <u>AAPA</u> as applied to claims 1 and 15, and further in view of <u>Wade et al.</u> (US Patent 5,552,776).

16. As per claim 2, <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u> teach all the limitations of claim 1 as discussed above, where, <u>Raverdy</u> further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising selectively providing information to the user device comprising access to various services and content information based on time-stamped access information (Raverdy, col. 11, II. 6-63).

Raverdy and AAPA does not expressly teach the presence attribute information server comprising wherein at least one of the one or more access condition entries includes a predetermined period of time to be matched.

Wade teaches a security system and method for controlling access to computing device comprising matching of a predetermined period of time in order to gain access into the computing device (Fig. 3; col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Wade</u>'s matching of the predetermined period of time into <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u>'s presence attribute information server for the benefit of providing an enhanced versatile and flexible security control over access of data in a computing device (<u>Wade</u>, col. 2, II. 18-29) to obtain the invention as specified in claim 2.

17. As per claim 3, Raverdy, AAPA and Wade teach all the limitations of claim 2 as discussed above, where Wade further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the predetermined period of time includes a time of day (Wade, Fig. 3, col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).

Art Unit: 2181

- 18. As per claim 4, Raverdy, AAPA and Wade teach all the limitations of claim 2 as discussed above, where Wade further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein the predetermined period of time includes a day of the week (Wade, Fig. 3, col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).
- 19. As per claim 5, Raverdy, AAPA and Wade teach all the limitations of claim 2 as discussed above, where Wade further teaches the presence attribute information server comprising wherein a predetermined period of time includes a point in time identifying the beginning of the predetermined period and a point in time identifying the end of the predetermined period (Wade, Fig. 3, col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).
- 20. As per claims 13-14 and 23, Raverdy and AAPA teach all the limitations of claims 1 as discussed above, where both further teach the presence attribute information server and a method for managing the access to presence attribute information comprising:

an access validation unit, coupled to the interface unit and the storage unit, the access validation unit being adapted for validating the received access code and other user data (Raverdy, col. 9, II. 26-43);

determining whether the user requesting the information is authorized to have access to the requested user presence attribute information (Raverdy, Fig. 9, ref. 924,

wherein the user must provide the correct access code and the corresponding user data in order to have access) including

receiving any conditions (status information) relative to the requesting user associated with receiving access to the information (Raverdy, col. 9, II. 26-43), wherein the received condition comprising the access code and the user data including the user profile is associated with the at least one of item or person (e.g. user device), and

determining (comparing) whether the received conditions associated with receiving access have been met (Raverdy, Fig. 9, ref. 924), wherein the correct access code and user profile must be provided in order to complete the login procedure;

wherein, if the user has met the conditions associated with receiving access, then forwarding the user presence attribute information to the requesting user (AAPA, Specification, p. 2, II. 19-22 and Raverdy, col. 6, II. 62-65); and

wherein the access validation unit includes a set of prestored instructions for execution by the processor (Raverdy, col. 9, ll. 10-14).

Raverdy and AAPA does not expressly teach the presence attribute information server and the method for managing the access to presence attribute information comprising:

the access validation unit receiving a request for user presence attribute information; and

the status information comprising the current time and date.

Art Unit: 2181

<u>Wade</u> teaches a security system and method for controlling access to computing device comprising:

access validation unit for receiving request to access a computing device (col. 8, II. 53-65 and col. 16, I. 58 to col. 17, I. 7);

matching of a predetermined period of time in order to gain access into the computing device (Fig. 3; col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41); and wherein the period of time include the time of date and date of week (Fig. 3; col. 7, II. 20-46 and col. 9, I. 35 to col. 10, I. 41).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Wade</u>'s matching of the predetermined period of time into <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u>'s presence attribute information server for the benefit of providing an enhanced versatile and flexible security control over access of data in a computing device (<u>Wade</u>, col. 2, II. 18-29) to obtain the invention as specified in claims 13-14 and 23.

21. Claims 8-9 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raverdy et al. (US Patent 6,957,217) in view of AAPA as applied to claims 1 and 15, and further in view of Fushiki et al. (US Patent 6,433,704).

Raverdy and AAPA teaches all the limitations of claims 6 and 15 as discussed above, where Raverdy further teaches the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

a base station coupled to the user device (Raverdy, Fig. 1);

Art Unit: 2181

the location profiles (<u>Raverdy</u>, col. 7, II. 22-43 and col. 9 line 26-56); and wherein said interface unit further includes a data output device (<u>Raverdy</u>, display 518 of Fig. 5) for presenting information in an iconic format (<u>Raverdy</u>, Fig. 5)

Raverdy and AAPA does not expressly teach the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

wherein the predetermined location include the present place;
wherein the proximity corresponds to a predetermined distance;
presenting the condition associated with authorizing access in an iconic format;
and

the data input device is further adapted for modifying the conditions being presented by the data output device associated with authorizing access to user presence attribute information associated with one or more users.

<u>Fushiki</u> teaches a system and method comprising:

a communication device comprising of a communication interface, memory and processor (Fig. 2; Fig. 8; Fig. 10 and col. 4, Il. 24-37);

a longitude and latitude information to represent the present position of the portable terminal (Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Fig. 9 and col. 7, II. 4-47); and

a coverage area (e.g. predetermined distance) for the corresponding communication device (Fig. 7 and col. 6, Il. 33-64);

the display screen (i.e. data output device) presenting requested information in an iconic format through using graphic user interface (GUI) for data inputting (Fig. 12 and col. 9, II. 4-44); and

the GUI is adapted to modify the requested information being presented by the display screen (Fig. 12 and col. 9, II. 4-44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Fushiki</u>'s longitude and latitude information, coverage area, presenting the requested information and the input device modifying the data presented by the output device into <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u>'s the presence attribute information server and the manager application for the benefit of enabling accurate determination of the position of the user device globally to obtain the invention as specified in claims 8-9 and 17-18. The resulting combination of the references teaches the presence attribute information server and the manager application comprising:

wherein the predetermined location includes the longitude and latitude information;

wherein the proximity corresponds to the coverage area;

presenting the requested information in an iconic format, wherein the receiving of the requested information shows that the authorization access must been approved as user device is properly logged in; and

the GUI would modify the what is being presented by the display screen, wherein the request data would be displayed only of the user device is properly logged in, therefore the presented information would be associated with authorizing access to user presence attribute information associated with one or more users utilizing the user device.

Art Unit: 2181

22. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raverdy et al. (US Patent 6,957,217) in view of AAPA as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Kruse et al. (US Patent 6,684,279).

Raverdy and AAPA teaches all the limitations of claims 6 and 15 as discussed above, where both further teach the presence attribute information server comprising:

wherein the user information contains access conditions (e.g. user data of Fig. 4) for the associated user presence attribute information (<u>AAPA</u>, Specification, p. 2, II. 19-22 and <u>Raverdy</u>, col. 9, II. 26-56); and

determining whether the user device is authorized or precluded to access the associated user presence attribute information during the login procedure (<u>AAPA</u>, Specification, p. 2, II. 19-22 and <u>Raverdy</u>, Fig. 9, ref. 924).

Raverdy and AAPA does not expressly teach the presence attribute information server comprising wherein access condition entries include a flag which, when an access condition is met, identifies whether access to the associated presence information is authorized or precluded.

Kruse teaches a method and apparatus for controlling data transfer comprising setting a flag when a condition is met, which identifies whether the access to a bus is authorized or precluded (Fig. 11 and col. 22, II. 30-43)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art, at the time of invention was made to include <u>Kruse</u>'s flag into <u>Raverdy</u> and <u>AAPA</u>'s access condition entries for the benefit of providing data access control without using another dedicated signal line (<u>Kruse</u>, col. 24, II. 32-36) to obtain the invention as specified in claim 12.

### IV. CLOSING COMMENTS

### Conclusion

### a. STATUS OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by M.P.E.P. 707.07(i):

## a(1) CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

Per the instant office action, claims 1-23 have received a first action on the merits and are subject of a first action non-final.

## b. DIRECTION OF FUTURE CORRESPONDENCES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-0671. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM to 5PM.

# **IMPORTANT NOTE**

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,321 Page 17

Art Unit: 2181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 06, 2007

Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee Examiner Art Unit 2181

ALFORD KINDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER